To: Grandinetti, Cami[Grandinetti.Cami@epa.gov]; Stern, Allyn[Stern.Allyn@epa.gov]

From: Albright, Rick

Sent: Thur 11/19/2015 3:55:07 PM

Subject: RE: Cong call

Attorney Client / Ex. 5

From: Grandinetti, Cami

Sent: Thursday, November 19, 2015 7:50 AM **To:** Stern, Allyn <Stern.Allyn@epa.gov> **Cc:** Albright, Rick <Albright.Rick@epa.gov>

Subject: Re: Cong call

Attorney Client / Ex. 5

Sent from my iPhone

On Nov 19, 2015, at 7:43 AM, Stern, Allyn < Stern. Allyn@epa.gov > wrote:

Attorney Client / Ex. 5

Allyn Stern

Regional Counsel

US EPA Region 10

1200 Sixth Avenue, Seattle, WA 98101

206-553-1223

Begin forwarded message:

From: "Cora, Lori" < Cora.Lori@epa.gov > Date: November 19, 2015 at 7:20:48 AM PST

To: "Ingemansen, Dean" < Ingemansen. Dean@epa.gov >, "Stern, Allyn"

<<u>Stern.Allyn@epa.gov</u>> **Subject: FW: Cong call**

Attorney Client / Ex. 5

Lori Houck Cora | Assistant Regional Counsel U.S. Environmental Protection Agency | Region 10 P: (206) 553.1115 | F: (206) 553.1762 | cora.lori@epa.gov

Follow @EPAnorthwest on Twitter! https://twitter.com/EPAnorthwest

From: Woolford, James

Sent: Wednesday, November 18, 2015 10:09 AM

To: Grandinetti, Cami < Grandinetti. Cami@epa.gov >; Fonseca, Silvina

<<u>Fonseca.Silvina@epa.gov</u>>; Stalcup, Dana <<u>Stalcup.Dana@epa.gov</u>>; Mackey, Cyndy <<u>Mackey.Cyndy@epa.gov</u>>; Cora, Lori <<u>Cora.Lori@epa.gov</u>>; Legare, Amy <<u>Legare.Amy@epa.gov</u>>; Koch, Kristine <<u>Koch.Kristine@epa.gov</u>>; Ells, Steve

<Ells.Steve@epa.gov>; Deitz, Randy <Deitz.Randy@epa.gov>

Subject: Cong call

Quick summary.

Dennis went thru ppt, but there were a number of Qs and concerns raised. There was

some confusion regarding the presentation of a preferred alt. to the NRRB. At least Merkley expressed his understanding the NRRB / CSTAG was only going to get a range of options and not a preferred alt. That resulted in a request for another call next week if it can be scheduled. Or ASAP.

Much interest in learning next week what option / preferred alternative was presented to NRRB. And why.

They also expressed desire to release NRRB/CSTAG comments as soon as they are sent to Region.

Looking at presentation Kristen sent this AM, I think most of what we need is there for a call next week, but I would re-arrange the slides. I would drop term "optimized" from the preferred alt set of slides.

Also -

Other topics they hit and want more info on.

Costs - can we or will we break down costs by SDU (which the delegation believes will help the allocation process. Blumenauer asked for by PRP if we could). My take away is we need to educate about the PRP allocation process and what tools are available to help or compel cooperation.

Decision tree - how flexible is it? It appears to be inflexible. Explain better.

Cost vs. Risk reduction - what do we get for \$\$ invested. Blumenauer: What does spending \$500 M at the site buy us from a risk reduction standpoint....

Cost - belief EPA consistently underestimates and it will be much higher ultimately. And longer too. How can everyone be assured the cost estimates are good. How do these cost compare vs other sites.

How can the remedy bring PRPs to table vs pushing them to litigation.

Public process - strong opinions 60 days won't be enough. Public getting short changed. Need to understand better our plans.

Dredging. Assumptions underlying dredging rates are Too optimistic - what is our level of certainty? Compare vs other sites. Costs will be higher. Community does not support 24 hr per day dredging.

That's about it...

Jim Woolford, Director
Office of Superfund Remediation & Technology Innovation
US EPA

Sent from my Windows Phone

Please excuse typos